P 10

G R S 59] GPB—15 000—22 7 14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

~~191~~ 1901

(Edcn)

46 of 01

File No. ~~47~~ of 1901

Serial Nos. 1—6

B

~~Papers~~ Filed.

Month March 1902

SUBJECT

Privilege leave to Mr Rangacharlu Dy Inspector of schools Shimoga Distt

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
Consolidated 4/04	Indexed H S Bhandary

(1)

Edn 254

OFFICE OF TH
8960
20 JAN 1902
DEPT. OF MYSORE

From H J Bhabha Esq ma d,
Inspector General of Education
in Mysore

To H V Vangundayya Esq ma, ba ll
THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF MYSORE,
General Revenue DEPT.

Dated 16th January 1902
Despatched
Received
General No 5900
No 196

Enclosure No (one)

Undersigned has the honor to submit an application for Leave on behalf of Mr S. Ranganatharlu, Deputy Insp^r of Schools, Shimoga District

the particulars of which are given overleaf

* Applicant has recorded the declaration prescribed in section 443 of the Mysore Service Regulations

H J Bhabha

Inspector General

* To be omitted in cases other than privilege leave

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor -
I do not understand why a person on leave should be in charge of current duties
HWF

Rec^d 21 Jan 1902
HWF/23/1
Mem No 9 - Please enquire - Either he should have been granted leave & actually relieved or his leave should have been given him. He ought well be good enough to report in detail what the proposal is. Where is any thing in the Code or the Service Regulations or in the Government Rules of Practice to
22/1/02

(2)

APPLICATION FOR LEAVE

1	Name of applicant	Mr. S Ranganathan -
2	Rank and salary including allowances	Deputy Inspector of Schools Shimoga District Pay Rs 2
3	District and station	Shimoga District, Shimoga
4	Nature of leave applied for and section of the Service Regulations applicable	29 days' Privilege leave under Article 188 of the Service Regulations -
5	From what date	From 3rd to the end of January 1902
6	No and date of Comptroller's certificate in support of the title to the leave with period and nature of the leave certified to	Comptroller's certificate No 187 of 26-12-1901, herewith sent, certifying that Mr Ranganathan is entitled to three months Privilege leave.
7	Arrangements proposed for conduct of applicant's duties during his absence and qualifications of the proposed officiator	He has been directed to carry on the current duties of the office during the period of Privilege leave
8	Other remarks, if any, such as necessity, urgency, &c	The leave has been utilised in anticipation of sanction

1903

(3)

To

The I Gl of Edn,
Mysore

Edn
File No 4607 / No 12531
2 D 6253
29/1/1902

His Docket No 5~~7~~96 D
16th January 1902

In the Docket above referred to the I Gl recommends Mr S Rangacharlu, Dy Insp of Schools, Shimoga Dist for 29 days' privilege leave and at the same time states that he has been directed to carry on the current duties of his office during the period of his privilege leave

Such an arrangement is unusual ~~the~~ I Gl will be good enough to report in detail what this

Why a resort to it has been considered necessary.

~~proposal to due to~~ (T&D)
and whether there is
anything in support
of it in the Service
Regulations or in
Departmental Rules
of Practice.

"By order"

25/1

Secretary

KSH
24/1/52

(S.O. 296) 10341

Ldn 78]

1891—2

Submitted for orders

11-2-02

FILE No. 46801

From The Inspector General of Education in Mysor

To the 2nd Councillor

To Hon. Mr. Narayanrao, Esq. B.A., Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, General Dept.

Dated 1st January 1902

Dispatched

Received

General No 5579

No 212

No of Enclosures

But I think that the I.G. misses the point of the objection. When an officer is entitled to leave, it is unjust to him to ask him to work. It is not quite fair to the work either, that an officer who goes out of his own direction (from shooting & diversion) should retain it in his own control.

The leave may be sanctioned & the I.G requested to follow the usual procedure in future cases. If any officer on leave [illegible] the work must be required [illegible] 12/2/02 [illegible] of his leave.

Yes. C

13/2/02

12/2/02

I have the honour to refer to the Secretariat order No. 12531/4253 dated the 27th January 1902, and to inform that "arrears of work" and "office" means about half the work of a working officer. It is understood that the Council, during the period of the Inspector's absence from the Head Quarters, will not grant leave to any officer

(b)

than that an officer from another district should be brought in only for a few days who is ignorant of the state of the Schools he has to deal with Similar arrangements for short periods have been made before and sanctioned by Government If there is nothing in support of such an arrangement in the Service Regulations there is nothing in them against it and the Inspector General has to make the best temporary arrangement possible during short absences in the interests of the Department The disturbance caused to more than one circle of inspection by temporary transfers from one district to another would far outweigh any slight advantage to inspection work that might result.

H J Bhabha
Inspector General.

(1) Notification

No 1376 Edn Under art 188 of the
Ed-2824-6-27 Mysore Service Regulations
dy 22-1-1902 Mr S Rangacharlu, Dy
Inspr of Schools, Shimoga
was granted privilege
leave of absence for 29
days with effect from 3rd
January 1902

By order
'draft'
HDS 14-2-1902 Secretary

To the Compiler, Mysore Gazette
for publication

No 1377
Ed 283
dy 22-1-1902

Copy
to the Dy Commr in Mysore
with reference to the Correspond-
ence ending with his
Docket No 6579 dt 1st Feb
1902, with the observation
that an Officer on
leave should not be
required to do any

212

In such cases, the usual practice should be followed and

any portion of his duties

(8)

Copy to the Comptroller, No 13578½
Response sent for information Ed 284
22/2/02

By order
Secretary

(Draft)
WB
24/2/1902

(P.D. 322)

11438

[Illegible] 78]

1897—

From H. Richardson Esq. M.A.,
The Inspector General of Education
in Mysore

To H. Krishnamurthy Sastri, B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Rev. Depts.,
Bangalore.

Dated 27-2-1902

Despatched

Received

General No 1159
No 240

No of Enclosures

With reference to the above-
mentioned and noted margin
I have the honour to inform
that a Deputy Inspector of
Schools asking for privilege
leave of 15 days requests to
this may be permitted
to do the current duties
of this office during his
period of leave. The
Inspector General submits
that in such cases it

This explanation
has not been called for
& may be filed.
Thanks
Submitted. The
2nd Council of
Education
11/3/1902
Rec'd
11-3-02

If we could get this
the Board a well
appeal that Govt
have nothing to say
against the proposed
of the [illegible]

Please read the
Govt Govt Govt
Reference of the
Rao - has referred to
which therefore should
be discontinued

12/3/02

preferable to let him do the routine office work during his privilege leave than to disturb more than one circle of inspection by temporary transfers for a few days at a time.

H J Bhabha
Inspector General.

Read (11)

SECTION—Ed. Docket No 7459/240 dy 27th February 1902, from the I Gl
FILE No 46 of 05, in Mysore, reporting
SUBJECT—to with reference to the ob-
servation added to Govt
Notification No 13876/Ed 161 dy 22nd
February 1902, that a Deputy
Inspector of Schools asking
for privilege often requests of
his own accord that he may
be permitted to do the
current duties of his
office during his period
of leave and submitting
that in such cases it
is preferable to let him
do the routine office work
during his privilege leave
than to disturb more
than one circle of in-
spection by temporary
transfers for a few days
at a time

Order thereon
No dy

Govt 15751 E
Ed 322 (12)
J 21/3/02

Govt do not approve
of the practice referred
to which therefore
should be discontinued.

Secretary

To The I Gl of Edn
in Mysore